

Elk Grove, CA

Trends over Time

2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2017 ratings for the City of Elk Grove to its previous survey results in 2015, 2013, 2011 and 2009. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Elk Grove represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than seven percentage points between the 2015 and 2017 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2015 and 2017 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Elk Grove for 2017 generally remained stable. Of the 121 items for which comparisons were available, 97 items were rated similarly in 2015 and 2017, 14 items showed a decrease in ratings and 10 showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Ratings for several aspects of Safety declined since 2015. These included residents' overall feelings of safety in the city, feeling safe in Elk Grove's downtown/commercial area, crime prevention and animal control.
 Residents were also less likely in 2017 than in 2015 to have stocked supplies for an emergency.
- While respondents' evaluations for most aspects of Mobility remained stable since 2015, two items saw an increase in ratings (street cleaning and traffic signal timing) and two saw a decrease (ease of travel by bicycle and the overall ease of travel in the city) in 2017.
- Several aspects of Community Engagement improved since the last survey administration. Residents gave higher marks to neighborliness and the job City government does at welcoming citizen involvement in 2017 than in 2015. Respondents were also more likely to have campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate or to have contacted Elk Grove elected officials in 2017. However, the rating for the value of services for taxes paid declined since 2015.

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Perce	ent rating po	sitively (e.g	., excellent/g	good)		Comparison to benchr			mark		
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2017 rating compared to 2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	
Overall quality of life	73%	74%	81%	81%	77%	Similar	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Overall image	64%	64%	74%	70%	70%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Place to live	80%	82%	88%	87%	83%	Similar	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Neighborhood	79%	83%	82%	83%	84%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Place to raise children	74%	80%	86%	86%	83%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Place to retire	49%	60%	69%	65%	55%	Lower	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Overall appearance	78%	81%	79%	75%	75%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Percei		sitively (e.g /somewhat		/good,	2017 rating		Compariso	n to bencl	nmark	
		2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	compared to 2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	NA	79%	72%	64%	Lower	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Lower
	Safe in neighborhood	88%	91%	93%	90%	88%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	84%	85%	83%	92%	83%	Lower	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Overall ease of travel	NA	NA	75%	67%	57%	Lower	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Lower
	Paths and walking trails	59%	59%	73%	66%	65%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Ease of walking	61%	62%	71%	66%	67%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Travel by bicycle	57%	52%	57%	58%	46%	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Travel by public transportation	NA	NA	41%	44%	40%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Travel by car	52%	54%	58%	59%	56%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Public parking	NA	NA	NA	68%	62%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Traffic flow	39%	37%	48%	35%	35%	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar	Lower	Similar
	Overall natural environment	59%	64%	77%	74%	70%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Cleanliness	77%	80%	80%	74%	73%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Air quality	54%	58%	73%	69%	61%	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Lower
	Overall built environment	NA	NA	65%	63%	64%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	New development in Elk Grove	53%	57%	52%	49%	50%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Affordable quality housing	49%	44%	50%	48%	47%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Housing options	62%	56%	63%	57%	58%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Built Environment	Public places	NA	NA	58%	62%	56%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Overall economic health	NA	NA	63%	68%	63%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	NA	30%	31%	29%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Lower
	Business and services	55%	58%	59%	62%	59%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Economy	Cost of living	NA	NA	43%	45%	39%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar

		Percei		sitively (e.g /somewhat		/good,	2017 rating		Compariso	on to bencl	nmark	
		2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	compared to 2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017
	Shopping opportunities	47%	52%	50%	55%	57%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Employment opportunities	14%	22%	32%	33%	35%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Place to visit	NA	NA	45%	41%	43%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower	Lower	Lower
	Place to work	49%	42%	59%	55%	51%	Similar	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Health and wellness	NA	NA	74%	68%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Mental health care	NA	NA	57%	50%	45%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Preventive health services	51%	58%	70%	68%	70%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Health care	53%	59%	73%	68%	66%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Food	65%	67%	66%	65%	65%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Recreation and	Recreational opportunities	50%	59%	69%	58%	58%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	NA	77%	74%	69%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	66%	64%	65%	74%	70%	Similar	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Cultural/arts/music activities	38%	44%	44%	45%	49%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Adult education	NA	NA	59%	67%	59%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Education and	K-12 education	78%	NA	78%	86%	80%	Similar	Much higher	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Enrichment	Child care/preschool	39%	39%	60%	57%	54%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Social events and activities	49%	58%	52%	49%	52%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Neighborliness	NA	NA	59%	46%	62%	Higher	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Openness and acceptance	73%	69%	63%	66%	70%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	55%	60%	54%	50%	56%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Community Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	51%	62%	62%	60%	61%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 3: Governance General

	Percent	rating pos	sitively (e.c	g., excellen	t/good)		Comparison to benchmark					
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2017 rating compared to 2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	
Services provided by Elk Grove	66%	67%	70%	75%	67%	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Customer service	66%	67%	66%	66%	68%	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Value of services for taxes paid	45%	48%	48%	52%	44%	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Overall direction	35%	43%	52%	52%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Welcoming citizen involvement	41%	40%	52%	38%	46%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Confidence in City government	NA	NA	49%	44%	43%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar	

	Percent	rating pos	sitively (e.ç	g., exceller	t/good)		Comparison to benchmark					
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2017 rating compared to 2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	
Acting in the best interest of Elk Grove	NA	NA	55%	50%	46%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Being honest	NA	NA	53%	46%	40%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	56%	49%	47%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Services provided by the Federal Government	30%	31%	39%	37%	34%	Similar	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	

Table 4: Governance by Facet

			Percent ra exc	iting posit cellent/go		ı	2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark					
		2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	
	Police	76%	77%	71%	74%	79%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Crime prevention	58%	61%	62%	68%	60%	Lower	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Animal control	58%	64%	58%	71%	63%	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Safety	Emergency preparedness	47%	62%	57%	39%	42%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Lower	Lower	
	Traffic enforcement	59%	61%	62%	63%	64%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Street repair	53%	57%	62%	62%	56%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	
	Street cleaning	59%	65%	66%	61%	69%	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Street lighting	70%	67%	72%	69%	74%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Sidewalk maintenance	67%	66%	67%	67%	66%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Traffic signal timing	43%	53%	50%	48%	55%	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Mobility	Bus or transit services	53%	56%	56%	53%	56%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Garbage collection	89%	79%	83%	87%	87%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Recycling	88%	81%	84%	87%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Yard waste pick-up	84%	80%	79%	86%	84%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	
	Natural areas preservation	50%	53%	61%	60%	53%	Lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Natural Environment	Open space	NA	NA	59%	51%	62%	Higher	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar	
	Storm drainage	68%	71%	68%	80%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	
	Sewer services	72%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar	NA	NA	NA	NA	
	Utility billing	NA	NA	59%	56%	59%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	Similar	
	Land use, planning and zoning	38%	50%	53%	46%	50%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Code enforcement	39%	49%	47%	50%	41%	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Built Environment	Cable television	60%	61%	56%	47%	50%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	
Economy	Economic development	33%	37%	47%	45%	48%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	

		I	Percent ra	ting posit cellent/go		ı	2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark				
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	
Education and	Special events	NA	NA	59%	57%	65%	Higher	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	Similar
Enrichment	Public libraries	74%	74%	79%	79%	80%	Similar	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
Community												
Engagement	Engagement Public information			64%	66%	66%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar

Table 5: Participation General

	Percent rat	ing positively (e.g., always/sor month, yes)	metimes, more t	han once a	2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark						
	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017		
Sense of community	55%	62%	66%	51%	57%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar		
							Much						
Recommend Elk Grove	76%	85%	88%	91%	84%	Similar	lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar		
Remain in Elk Grove	82%	81%	87%	87%	85%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar		
Contacted Elk Grove							Much	Much					
employees	37%	37%	39%	39%	47%	Higher	lower	lower	Lower	Similar	Similar		

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percent r	ating positive than c	ely (e.g., alw once a montl		nes, more	2017 rating	Comparison to benchmark					
		2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	compared to 2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	NA	31%	45%	35%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	74%	74%	70%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	82%	90%	86%	86%	80%	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	NA	91%	20%	15%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Lower	
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	49%	62%	56%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	57%	59%	59%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
	Conserved water	NA	NA	89%	96%	92%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	
	Made home more energy efficient	NA	NA	79%	79%	75%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	94%	96%	96%	98%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	60%	41%	44%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Similar	
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	52%	43%	57%	64%	64%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	

		Percent r	ating positive than o	ely (e.g., alv		nes, more	2017 rating		Comparis	on to bencl	hmark	
		2009	2011	2013	2015	2017	compared to 2015	2009	2011	2013	2015	2017
	Purchased goods or services in Elk Grove	NA	NA	97%	99%	99%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	14%	18%	30%	29%	37%	Higher	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
Economy	Work in Elk Grove	NA	NA	28%	29%	32%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Similar
	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	NA	88%	86%	87%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Recreation and	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	NA	79%	90%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Wellness	In very good to excellent health	NA	NA	58%	60%	63%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Used Elk Grove public libraries	63%	61%	56%	57%	55%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	44%	50%	45%	50%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Education and Enrichment	Attended a City-sponsored event	NA	NA	47%	55%	55%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	NA	NA	20%	14%	22%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Contacted Elk Grove elected officials	NA	NA	13%	9%	20%	Higher	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Volunteered	36%	43%	32%	39%	39%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Participated in a club	23%	23%	21%	26%	25%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	NA	94%	92%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	NA	82%	85%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
	Attended a local public meeting	22%	19%	19%	18%	22%	Similar	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Watched a local public meeting	28%	22%	18%	21%	25%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Similar	Similar
Community	Read or watched local news	NA	NA	92%	90%	89%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar
Engagement	Voted in local elections	80%	78%	81%	85%	88%	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar