# Appendix G

**Noise Worksheets** 



Noise Level Descriptor:  Site Conditions: Hard  Traffic Input: ADT  Traffic K-Factor:  Distance to  Directional	dn, C A) <sub>5.6.7</sub> 75 dBA	Output  Distance to C		
Site Conditions: Hard  Traffic Input: ADT  Traffic K-Factor: Distance to  Directional	•	Distance to C		
Traffic Input: ADT  Traffic K-Factor:  Distance to  Directional	•	Distance to C		
Traffic K-Factor: Distance to Directional	•	Distance to C		
Directional	•	Distance to C		
	•	Distance to C		
	•	distance to C	IEI	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			, ,	
	A)5,6,7 /3 UDA	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA
Existing Conditions				
Weekday				
	5.6	1	4	13
·	8.5 11	35	112	354
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8.6 12	37	116	365
	8.6	36	115	363
	2.7	94	297	940
6 Weekend				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5.5		4	12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8.3 11	34	108	341
	8.5 11	35	111	352
	8.4 11	35	111	350
5 Kammerer Road Promenade Parkway SR 99 SB Ramps 27,315 50 50 97.0% 2.0% 1.0% 80.0% 15.0% 5.0% 7	2.6 29	91	286	905

<sup>\*</sup>All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Project:	New Zoo at Elk G	irove																
								Input	t							Output		
	Noise Level Do	escriptor: Ldn																
	Site Co	nditions: Hard																
	Traf	fic Input: ADT																
	Traffic	K-Factor:				Distan Direct	ional											
		Segment Description and Location	on		Speed	Centerlin	e, (feet) <sub>4</sub>		Traffic D	istribution	Characte	ristics		Ldn,	Di	stance to Co	ontour, (feet	)3
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) <sub>5,6,7</sub>	75 dBA	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA
Open	ing Year 2028																	
	Weekday																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		5,568	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	62.8	2	7	21	67
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		18,109	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.8	19	60	190	600
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	18,795	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	71.0	20	62	197	623
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	20,741	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	71.4		69	217	687
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	40,906	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	74.3		136	429	1356
	Weekend																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		5,365	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	62.7	2		21	65
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		17,448	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.6	18	58	183	578
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	18,110	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.8	19	60	190	600
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	19,985	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	71.2	21	66	209	662
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	39,414	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	74.2	41	131	413	1306
				1														

<sup>\*</sup>All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Project:	New Zoo at Elk Grove																	
								Input								Output		
	Noise Level Descript	or: Ldn																
	Site Condition	ns: Hard																
	Traffic Inp	ut: ADT																
	Traffic K-Facto	or:				Distanc												
						Direction												
	Segn	nent Description and Location			Speed	Centerline,	, (feet) <sub>4</sub>		Traffic Di	stribution	Characte	ristics		Ldn,	Distance to Contour, (fee		3	
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) <sub>5,6,7</sub>	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
Existi	ing + Project Conditions																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		5,728	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	63.0	7	22	69	219
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		18,109	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.8	60	190	600	1898
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	19,865	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	71.2	66	208	658	2082
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	21,811	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	71.6	72	229	723	2286
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	41,976	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	74.4	139	440	1391	4399
	Weekend																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		5,794	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	63.0	7	22	70	221
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		17,448	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.6	58	183	578	1829
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	21,597	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	71.6	72	226	716	2263
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	23,472	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	71.9	78	246	778	2460
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	42,901	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	74.5	142	450	1422	4496
				I										1				

<sup>\*</sup>All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.





Project:	New Zoo at Elk	Grove																
								Input								Output		
	Noise Level	Descriptor: Ldn																
	Site (	Conditions: Hard																
	Tr	affic Input: ADT																
	Traffi	c K-Factor:				Distanc												
						Direction												
		Segment Description and Location			Speed	Centerline	, (feet) <sub>4</sub>		Traffic Di	stribution	Characte	ristics		Ldn,	Di	stance to Co	ntour, (feet	)3
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) <sub>5,6,7</sub>	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA	55 dBA
Cum	ulative 2050																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		30,678	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.2	37	117	371	1172
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		54,205	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.6	180	568	1796	5681
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	57,452	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.8	190	602	1904	6021
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	71,085	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	76.7	236	745	2356	7450
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	94,206	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	78.0	312	987	3122	9873
	Weekend																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		29,559	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.1	36	113	357	1130
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		52,228	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.4	173	547	1731	5474
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	55,357	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.6	183	580	1835	5802
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	68,493	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	76.6	227	718	2270	7178
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	90,770	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	77.8	301	951	3008	9513

<sup>\*</sup>All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



Project:	New Zoo at Elk C	Grove																
								Input	t .							Output		
	Noise Level D	escriptor: Ldn																
	Site Co	onditions: Hard																
	Traf	ffic Input: ADT																
	Traffic	K-Factor:				Distan Direct												
		Segment Description and Location	on		Speed	Centerlin	e, (feet) <sub>4</sub>		Traffic D	istribution	Characte	ristics		Ldn,	Di	stance to Co	ontour, (feet	)3
Number	Name	From	То	ADT	(mph)	Near	Far	% Auto	% Medium	% Heavy	% Day	% Eve	% Night	(dBA) <sub>5,6,7</sub>	75 dBA	70 dBA	65 dBA	60 dBA
Cumi	ulative + Project																	
-	Weekday																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		30,898	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.3	12	37	118	373
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		54,205	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.6	57	180	568	1796
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	58,425	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.9	61	194	612	1936
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	72,058	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	76.8		239	755	2388
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	95,179	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	78.0		315	997	3154
	Weekend																	
1	Lotz Parkway	N of Classical Way		30,215	35	35	35	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	70.2	12		115	365
2	Kammerer Road	W of Lotz Parkway		52,228	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.4	55	173	547	1731
3	Kammerer Road	Lotz Parkway	Lent Ranch Parkway	58,367	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	75.9	61	193	612	1934
4	Kammerer Road	Lent Ranch Parkway	Promenade Parkway	71,503	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	76.8	75	237	749	2370
5	Kammerer Road	Promenade Parkway	SR 99 SB Ramps	93,780	50	50	50	97.0%	2.0%	1.0%	80.0%	15.0%	5.0%	77.9	98	311	983	3108
				1										I				

<sup>\*</sup>All modeling assumes average pavement, level roadways (less than 1.5% grade), constant traffic flow and does not account for shielding of any type or finite roadway adjustments. All levels are reported as A-weighted noise levels.



# Demo - Phase 31

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	52	90.0	Excavator	80	1
Residence	150	80.8	Dozer	85	1
			Dozer	85	1
			Concrete Saw	76	1
			Excavator	85	1
			Excavator	85	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Excavator	80.0
Dozer	85.0
Dozer	85.0
Concrete Saw	76.0
Excavator	85.0
Excavator	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

90.4

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



# Demo - Phase 1

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	595	65.0	Excavator	80	0.4
Residence 1	150	77.0	Dozer	85	0.4
			Dozer	85	0.4
			Concrete Saw	76	0.7
			Excavator	85	0.4
			Excavator	85	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Excavator	76.0
Dozer	81.0
Dozer	81.0
Concrete Saw	74.5
Excavator	81.0
Excavator	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leq dBA at 50 feet)

86.5

#### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



# Arch Coating - Phase 1

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	16	90.0	Compressor (air)	80	1
Residence	150	70.5			

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

80.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



# Arch Coating - Phase 1

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	199	65.0	Compressor (air)	80	0.5
Residence 1	150	67.4			
		1			

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	77.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

77.0

#### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



**Reference Emission** 

# Paving - Phase 1

	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	63	90.0	Paver	85	1
Residence	150	82.4	Paver	85	1
			Paver	85	1
			Paver	85	1
			Roller	85	1
			Roller	85	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Paver	85.0
Roller	85.0
Roller	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

92.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



# Paving - Phase 1

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	707	65.0	Paver	85	0.4
Residence 1	150	78.5	Paver	85	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
			Roller	85	0.4
			Roller	85	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Paver	81.0
Roller	81.0
Roller	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

88.0

#### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



# **Building Construciton - Phase 1**

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	34	90.0	Man Lift	75	1
Residence	150	77.1	Man Lift	75	1
			Crane	83	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Man Lift	75	1
			Generator	82	1
			Welder / Torch	74	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	75.0
Man Lift	75.0
Crane	83.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Man Lift	75.0
Generator	82.0
Welder / Torch	74.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

86.7

### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



# **Building Construction - Phase 1**

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	347	65.0	Man Lift	75	0.2
Residence 1	150	72.3	Man Lift	75	0.2
			Crane	83	0.3
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Man Lift	75	0.2
			Generator	82	0.5
			Welder / Torch	74	0.4
			Ground Type	hard	
			Source Height	8	
			Receiver Height	5	
			Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00	

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	68.0
Man Lift	68.0
Crane	77.8
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Man Lift	68.0
Generator	79.0
Welder / Torch	70.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leq dBA at 50 feet)

81.8

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



# Grading - Phase 1

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	54	90.0	Grader	85	1
Residence	150	81.1	Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Excavator	85	1
			Excavator	85	1
			Scraper	85	1
			Scraper	85	1
			Dozer	85	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	85.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Excavator	85.0
Excavator	85.0
Scraper	85.0
Scraper	85.0
Dozer	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

90.6

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



# Grading - Phase 1

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	603	65.0	Grader	85	0.4
Residence 1	150	77.1	Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Excavator	85	0.4
			Excavator	85	0.4
			Scraper	85	0.4
			Scraper	85	0.4
			Dozer	85	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	81.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Excavator	81.0
Excavator	81.0
Scraper	81.0
Scraper	81.0
Dozer	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

86.6

#### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



# Site Prep - Phase 1

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	476	65.0	Backhoe	80	0.4
Residence 1	150	75.0	Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
		-	Backhoe	80	0.4
			Dozer	85	0.4
			Dozer	85	0.4
			Dozer	85	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Backhoe	76.0
Dozer	81.0
Dozer	81.0
Dozer	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

84.6

#### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$  that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



# Site Prep - Phase 1

				Reference Emission Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		· max	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	42	90.0	Backhoe	80	1
Residence	150	79.0	Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Dozer	85	1
			Dozer	85	1
			Dozer	85	1
					1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Backhoe	80.0
Dozer	85.0
Dozer	85.0
Dozer	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

88.6

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



## **Attenuation Calculations for Stationary Noise Sources**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Identify the noise source and enter the reference noise level (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

STEP 3: Select the distance to the receiver.

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level		Attenuation Characteristics			Atten	uated Nois	e Lev	el at Recep	tor		
	noise level		distance	Ground Type	Source	Receiver	Ground		noise leve	I	distance	
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	Height (ft)	Height (ft)	Factor		(dBA)	@	(ft)	
Helicopter	79.5	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.5	@	50	
chipper	99.0	@	3	soft	6	5	0.65		67.7	@	50	
blasting (night Imax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		65.0	@	620	
helicopter (night leq)	68.0	@	492.00	soft	6	5	0.65		45.1	@	3600	
blasting (day lmax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		70.1	@	400	
helicopter (day leq)	68.0	@	492	soft	6	5	0.65		55.0	@	1520	
Blasting (SF Res)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.6	@	175	
blasting	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		86.0	@	100	
construction	85.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		93.0	@	25	
construction	95.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		103.0	@	25	ļ
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66	П				
							0.66	[[				

#### Notes:

Estimates of attenuated noise levels do not account for reductions from intervening barriers, including walls, trees, vegetation, or structures of any type.

Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 12-3 and 12-4 of FTA 2006.

Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Figure 6-23 on pg. 6-23 of FTA 2006, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available: <a href="http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf">http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf</a>>. Accessed: September 24, 2010.

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100		
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0		100		
Boring Jack Power Unit	50	80	83	1	74.0	71.0	100	77.0	74.0
Chain Saw	20	85	84	46	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	93	87	4	87.0	80.0	100	81.0	74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	80	83	57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	70.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant Concrete Mixer Truck	15 40	83 85	na 79	0 40	77.0 79.0	68.7 75.0	100 100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck	20	82	79 81	30	76.0	69.0	100	75.0 75.0	68.0
Concrete Saw	20	90	90	55	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Crane	16	85	81	405	79.0	71.0	100	75.0	67.0
Dozer	40	85	82	55	79.0	75.0	100	76.0	72.0
Drill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	78.0	71.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	70.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
Front End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50 50	82 70	81 73	19 74	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS signs) Gradall	40	70 85	73 83	74	64.0 79.0	61.0 75.0	100 100	67.0 77.0	64.0 73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jack	25	80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
Hydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
Impact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Jackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer (hoe ram)	20 20	90 85	90 90	212 2	84.0 79.0	77.0 72.0	100 100	84.0 84.0	77.0 77.0
Pavement Scarafier Paver	20 50	85 85	90 77	9	79.0 79.0	72.0 76.0	100	71.0	68.0
Pickup Truck	40	55	75	1	49.0	45.0	100	69.0	65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50	85	85	90	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0
Pumps	50	77	81	17	71.0	68.0	100	75.0	72.0
Refrigerator Unit	100	82	73	3	76.0	76.0	100	67.0	67.0
Rivit Buster/chipping gun	20	85	79	19	79.0	72.0	100	73.0	66.0
Rock Drill	20	85	81	3	79.0	72.0	100	75.0	68.0
Roller	20	85	80	16	79.0	72.0	100	74.0	67.0
Sand Blasting (Single Nozzle)	20	85	96	9	79.0	72.0	100	90.0	83.0
Scraper	40 40	85 85	84	12 5	79.0	75.0 75.0	100 100	78.0 90.0	74.0
Shears (on backhoe) Slurry Plant	100	85 78	96 78	1	79.0 72.0	75.0	100	72.0	86.0 72.0
Slurry Trenching Machine	50	78 82	78 80	75	72.0 76.0	73.0	100	74.0	72.0
Soil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Tractor	40	84	na	0	78.0	74.0	100		
Vacuum Excavator (Vac-truck)	40	85	85	149	79.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
Vacuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0
Ventilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0
Vibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0
Vibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0
Welder / Torch chipper	40	73 75	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0

Source

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560



# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	16	90.0	Compressor (air)	80	1
Residence	230	66.7	<del></del>		

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

80.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e0</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	199	65.0	Compressor (air)	80	0.5
Residence 1	230	63.7	_		

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	77.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

77.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



**Reference Emission** 

# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	63	90.0	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	1
Residence	230	78.7	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	1
			Paver	85	1
			Paver	85	1
			Roller	85	1
			Roller	85	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Paver	85	1
			Paver	85	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Concrete Mixer Truck	85.0
Concrete Mixer Truck	85.0
Paver	85.0
Paver	85.0
Roller	85.0
Roller	85.0
Backhoe	80.0
Paver	85.0
Paver	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

92.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e0</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Reference Emission Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	707	65.0	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	0.4
Residence 1	230	74.8	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
			Roller	85	0.4
			Roller	85	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
			Ground Type	hard	
			Source Height	8	
			Receiver Height	5	
			Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00	
			Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>	
			Concrete Mixer Truck	81.0	

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Concrete Mixer Truck	81.0
Concrete Mixer Truck	81.0
Paver	81.0
Paver	81.0
Roller	81.0
Roller	81.0
Backhoe	76.0
Paver	81.0
Paver	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

88.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



**Reference Emission** 

# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	43	90.0	Man Lift	85	1
Residence	230	75.4	Man Lift	75	1
			Man Lift	75	1
			Generator	82	1
			Crane	83	1
			Welder / Torch	78	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	85.0
Man Lift	75.0
Man Lift	75.0
Generator	82.0
Crane	83.0
Welder / Torch	78.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

88.7

### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e0</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	442	65.0	Man Lift	85	0.2
Residence 1	230	70.7	Man Lift	75	0.2
			Man Lift	75	0.2
			Generator	82	0.7
			Crane	83	0.3
			Welder / Torch	78	0.5
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Ground Type	hard	
			Source Height	8	
			Receiver Height	5	
			Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00	

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	78.0
Man Lift	68.0
Man Lift	68.0
Generator	80.5
Crane	77.8
Welder / Torch	75.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

83.9

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	45	90.0	Grader	85	1
Residence	230	75.8	Excavator	81	1
			Dozer	82	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	85.0
Excavator	81.0
Dozer	82.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

89.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e0</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	503	65.0	Grader	85	0.4
Residence 1	230	71.8	Excavator	81	0.4
			Dozer	82	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	81.0
Excavator	77.0
Dozer	78.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

85.1

### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	462	65.0	Dozer	82	0.4
Residence 1	230	71.1	Dozer	82	0.4
			Dozer	82	0.4
		-	Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Dozer	78.0
Dozer	78.0
Dozer	78.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

84.3

### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$  that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	41	90.0	Dozer	82	1
Residence	230	75.0	Dozer	82	1
			Dozer	82	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Dozer	82.0
Dozer	82.0
Dozer	82.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

88.3

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



### **Attenuation Calculations for Stationary Noise Sources**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Identify the noise source and enter the reference noise level (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

STEP 3: Select the distance to the receiver.

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level			Attenuation Characteristics					Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor			
	noise level		distance	Ground Type	Source	Receiver	Ground		noise leve	I	distance	
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	Height (ft)	Height (ft)	Factor		(dBA)	@	(ft)	
Helicopter	79.5	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.5	@	50	
chipper	99.0	@	3	soft	6	5	0.65		67.7	@	50	
blasting (night Imax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		65.0	@	620	
helicopter (night leq)	68.0	@	492.00	soft	6	5	0.65		45.1	@	3600	
blasting (day lmax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		70.1	@	400	
helicopter (day leq)	68.0	@	492	soft	6	5	0.65		55.0	@	1520	
Blasting (SF Res)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.6	@	175	
blasting	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		86.0	@	100	
construction	85.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		93.0	@	25	
construction	95.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		103.0	@	25	ļ
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66	П				
							0.66					

### Notes:

Estimates of attenuated noise levels do not account for reductions from intervening barriers, including walls, trees, vegetation, or structures of any type.

Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 12-3 and 12-4 of FTA 2006.

Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Figure 6-23 on pg. 6-23 of FTA 2006, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).

### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available: <a href="http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf">http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf</a>>. Accessed: September 24, 2010.

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100		
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0		100		
Boring Jack Power Unit	50	80	83	1	74.0	71.0	100	77.0	74.0
Chain Saw	20	85	84	46	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	93	87	4	87.0	80.0	100	81.0	74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	80	83	57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	70.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant Concrete Mixer Truck	15 40	83 85	na 79	0 40	77.0 79.0	68.7 75.0	100 100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck	20	82	79 81	30	76.0	69.0	100	75.0 75.0	68.0
Concrete Saw	20	90	90	55	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Crane	16	85	81	405	79.0	71.0	100	75.0	67.0
Dozer	40	85	82	55	79.0	75.0	100	76.0	72.0
Drill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	78.0	71.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	70.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
Front End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50 50	82 70	81 73	19 74	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS signs) Gradall	40	70 85	73 83	74 70	64.0 79.0	61.0 75.0	100 100	67.0 77.0	64.0 73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jack	25	80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
Hydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
Impact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Jackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer (hoe ram)	20 20	90 85	90 90	212 2	84.0 79.0	77.0 72.0	100 100	84.0 84.0	77.0 77.0
Pavement Scarafier Paver	20 50	85 85	90 77	9	79.0 79.0	72.0 76.0	100	71.0	68.0
Pickup Truck	40	55	75	1	49.0	45.0	100	69.0	65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50	85	85	90	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0
Pumps	50	77	81	17	71.0	68.0	100	75.0	72.0
Refrigerator Unit	100	82	73	3	76.0	76.0	100	67.0	67.0
Rivit Buster/chipping gun	20	85	79	19	79.0	72.0	100	73.0	66.0
Rock Drill	20	85	81	3	79.0	72.0	100	75.0	68.0
Roller	20	85	80	16	79.0	72.0	100	74.0	67.0
Sand Blasting (Single Nozzle)	20	85	96	9	79.0	72.0	100	90.0	83.0
Scraper	40 40	85 85	84	12 5	79.0	75.0 75.0	100 100	78.0 90.0	74.0
Shears (on backhoe) Slurry Plant	100	85 78	96 78	1	79.0 72.0	75.0	100	72.0	86.0 72.0
Slurry Trenching Machine	50	78 82	78 80	75	72.0 76.0	73.0	100	74.0	72.0
Soil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Tractor	40	84	na	0	78.0	74.0	100		
Vacuum Excavator (Vac-truck)	40	85	85	149	79.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
Vacuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0
Ventilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0
Vibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0
Vibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0
Welder / Torch chipper	40	73 75	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0

Source

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560



## Demo - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	37	90.0	Backhoe	80	1
Residence	515	67.2	Backhoe	80	1
			Dozer	85	1
			Concrete Saw	76	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Dozer	85.0
Concrete Saw	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

87.5

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



## Demo - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	430	65.0	Backhoe	80	0.4
Residence 1	515	63.4	Backhoe	80	0.4
			Dozer	85	0.4
			Concrete Saw	76	0.7

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Dozer	81.0
Concrete Saw	74.5

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leq dBA at 50 feet)

83.7

### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



## Arch Coating - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	16	90.0	Compressor (air)	80	1
Residence	515	59.7	<del></del>		

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

80.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



## Arch Coating - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	199	65.0	Compressor (air)	80	0.5
Residence 1	515	56.7	_		
		1			

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	77.0

77.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

Sources:

eqt 1 17 3 30 t 3 7 3 30

Where: E.L. = Emission Level; U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



## Paving - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	63	90.0	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	1
Residence	515	71.7	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	1
			Concrete Mixer Truck	85	1
			Concrete Mixer Truck	85	1
			Paver	85	1
			Roller	85	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Concrete Mixer Truck	85.0
Paver	85.0
Roller	85.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

92.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



## Paving - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	707	65.0	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	0.4
Residence 1	515	67.8	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	0.4
			Concrete Mixer Truck	85	0.4
		-	Concrete Mixer Truck	85	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
			Roller	85	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Concrete Mixer Truck	81.0
Paver	81.0
Roller	81.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

88.0

### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



## **Building Construciton - Phase 3**

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	43	90.0	Man Lift	85	1
Residence	515	68.5	Man Lift	75	1
			Crane	83	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	85.0
Man Lift	75.0
Crane	83.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

88.7

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



## **Building Construction - Phase 3**

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	407	65.0	Man Lift	85	0.2
Residence 1	515	63.0	Man Lift	75	0.2
			Crane	83	0.3
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	78.0
Man Lift	68.0
Crane	77.8
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

83.2

### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



## **Grading - Phase 3**

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	38	90.0	Grader	85	1
Residence	515	67.3	Backhoe	80	1
			Dozer	82	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	85.0
Backhoe	80.0
Dozer	82.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

87.6

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



## **Grading - Phase 3**

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	426	65.0	Grader	85	0.4
Residence 1	515	63.4	Backhoe	80	0.4
			Dozer	82	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	81.0
Backhoe	76.0
Dozer	78.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leq dBA at 50 feet)

83.6

### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



## Site Prep - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	363	65.0	Grader	85	0.4
Residence 1	515	62.0	Backhoe	80	0.4
		1			

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	81.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

82.2

### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



## Site Prep - Phase 3

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	32	90.0	Grader	85	1
Residence	515	65.9	Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	85.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

86.2

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



### **Attenuation Calculations for Stationary Noise Sources**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Identify the noise source and enter the reference noise level (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

STEP 3: Select the distance to the receiver.

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level		Attenuation Characteristics			Attenuated Noise Level at Receptor			tor			
	noise level		distance	Ground Type	Source	Receiver	Ground		noise leve	I	distance	
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	Height (ft)	Height (ft)	Factor		(dBA)	@	(ft)	
Helicopter	79.5	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.5	@	50	
chipper	99.0	@	3	soft	6	5	0.65		67.7	@	50	
blasting (night Imax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		65.0	@	620	
helicopter (night leq)	68.0	@	492.00	soft	6	5	0.65		45.1	@	3600	
blasting (day lmax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		70.1	@	400	
helicopter (day leq)	68.0	@	492	soft	6	5	0.65		55.0	@	1520	
Blasting (SF Res)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.6	@	175	
blasting	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		86.0	@	100	
construction	85.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		93.0	@	25	
construction	95.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		103.0	@	25	ļ
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66	П				
							0.66					

### Notes:

Estimates of attenuated noise levels do not account for reductions from intervening barriers, including walls, trees, vegetation, or structures of any type.

Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 12-3 and 12-4 of FTA 2006.

Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Figure 6-23 on pg. 6-23 of FTA 2006, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).

### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available: <a href="http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf">http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf</a>>. Accessed: September 24, 2010.

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100		
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0		100		
Boring Jack Power Unit	50	80	83	1	74.0	71.0	100	77.0	74.0
Chain Saw	20	85	84	46	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	93	87	4	87.0	80.0	100	81.0	74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	80	83	57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	70.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant Concrete Mixer Truck	15 40	83 85	na 79	0 40	77.0 79.0	68.7 75.0	100 100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck	20	82	79 81	30	76.0	69.0	100	75.0 75.0	68.0
Concrete Saw	20	90	90	55	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Crane	16	85	81	405	79.0	71.0	100	75.0	67.0
Dozer	40	85	82	55	79.0	75.0	100	76.0	72.0
Drill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	78.0	71.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	70.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
Front End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50 50	82 70	81 73	19 74	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS signs) Gradall	40	70 85	73 83	74 70	64.0 79.0	61.0 75.0	100 100	67.0 77.0	64.0 73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jack	25	80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
Hydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
Impact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Jackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer (hoe ram)	20 20	90 85	90 90	212 2	84.0 79.0	77.0 72.0	100 100	84.0 84.0	77.0 77.0
Pavement Scarafier Paver	20 50	85 85	90 77	9	79.0 79.0	72.0 76.0	100	71.0	68.0
Pickup Truck	40	55	75	1	49.0	45.0	100	69.0	65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50	85	85	90	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0
Pumps	50	77	81	17	71.0	68.0	100	75.0	72.0
Refrigerator Unit	100	82	73	3	76.0	76.0	100	67.0	67.0
Rivit Buster/chipping gun	20	85	79	19	79.0	72.0	100	73.0	66.0
Rock Drill	20	85	81	3	79.0	72.0	100	75.0	68.0
Roller	20	85	80	16	79.0	72.0	100	74.0	67.0
Sand Blasting (Single Nozzle)	20	85	96	9	79.0	72.0	100	90.0	83.0
Scraper	40 40	85 85	84	12 5	79.0	75.0 75.0	100 100	78.0 90.0	74.0
Shears (on backhoe) Slurry Plant	100	85 78	96 78	1	79.0 72.0	75.0	100	72.0	86.0 72.0
Slurry Trenching Machine	50	78 82	78 80	75	72.0 76.0	73.0	100	74.0	72.0
Soil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Tractor	40	84	na	0	78.0	74.0	100		
Vacuum Excavator (Vac-truck)	40	85	85	149	79.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
Vacuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0
Ventilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0
Vibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0
Vibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0
Welder / Torch chipper	40	73 75	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0

Source

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560



				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	16	90.0	Compressor (air)	80	1
Residence	560	59.0			

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

80.0

#### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 3}$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	199	65.0	Compressor (air)	80	0.5
Residence 1	560	56.0	_		

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Compressor (air)	77.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

77.0

### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log(U.F.) - 20*log(D/50) - 10*G*log(D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	63	90.0	Paver	85	1
Residence	560	71.0	Paver	85	1
			Paver	85	1
			Paver	85	1
			Roller	85	1
			Roller	85	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Paver	85.0
Roller	85.0
Roller	85.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

92.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e0</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



**Reference Emission** 

## Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	707	65.0	Paver	85	0.4
Residence 1	560	67.0	Paver	85	0.4
			Paver	85	0.4
		•	Paver	85	0.4
			Roller	85	0.4
			Roller	85	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Paver	81.0
Roller	81.0
Roller	81.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

88.0

### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



**Reference Emission** 

# Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	43	90.0	Man Lift	85	1
Residence	560	67.7	Man Lift	75	1
			Man Lift	75	1
			Generator	82	1
			Crane	83	1
			Welder / Torch	78	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	85.0
Man Lift	75.0
Man Lift	75.0
Generator	82.0
Crane	83.0
Welder / Torch	78.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

88.7

### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e0</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	442	65.0	Man Lift	85	0.2
Residence 1	560	62.9	Man Lift	75	0.2
			Man Lift	75	0.2
			Generator	82	0.7
			Crane	83	0.3
			Welder / Torch	78	0.5
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
		Backhoe	80	0.4	
			Ground Type	hard	
		Source Height	8		
			Receiver Height	5	
			Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00	

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Man Lift	78.0
Man Lift	68.0
Man Lift	68.0
Generator	80.5
Crane	77.8
Welder / Torch	75.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

83.9

### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

 $\mbox{G} = \mbox{Constant}$  that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



## Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	45	90.0	Grader	85	1
Residence	560	68.0	Excavator	81	1
			Dozer	82	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	85.0
Excavator	81.0
Dozer	82.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

89.0

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e0</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



**Reference Emission** 

## Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

	Distance to Nearest	<b>Combined Predicted</b>		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	503	65.0	Grader	85	0.4
Residence 1	560	64.1	Excavator	81	0.4
			Dozer	82	0.4
	-		Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Grader	81.0
Excavator	77.0
Dozer	78.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

85.1

#### Sources:

 $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L.+10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).



## Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	462	65.0	Dozer	82	0.4
Residence 1	560	63.3	Dozer	82	0.4
			Dozer	82	0.4
		•	Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4
			Backhoe	80	0.4

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Dozer	78.0
Dozer	78.0
Dozer	78.0
Backhoe	76.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (Leg dBA at 50 feet)

84.3

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3). Le<sub>e</sub>(equip) = E.L.+10\*log (U.F.) - 20\*log (D/50) - 10\*G\*log (D/50)



## Day Cable Crossing Equipment (no helicopter)

				Reference Emission	
	Distance to Nearest	Combined Predicted		Noise Levels (L <sub>max</sub> ) at 50	Usage
Location	Receptor in feet	Noise Level (L <sub>eq</sub> dBA)	Equipment	feet <sup>1</sup>	Factor <sup>1</sup>
Threshold	41	90.0	Dozer	82	1
Residence	560	67.3	Dozer	82	1
			Dozer	82	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1
			Backhoe	80	1

Ground Type	hard
Source Height	8
Receiver Height	5
Ground Factor <sup>2</sup>	0.00

Predicted Noise Level <sup>3</sup>	L <sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet <sup>3</sup>
Dozer	82.0
Dozer	82.0
Dozer	82.0
Backhoe	80.0

Combined Predicted Noise Level (L<sub>eq</sub> dBA at 50 feet)

88.3

#### Sources:

Where: E.L. = Emission Level;

U.F.= Usage Factor;

G = Constant that accounts for topography and ground effects (FTA 2006: pg 6-23); and

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Obtained from the FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 1.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  Based on Figure 6-5 from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 6-23).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on the following from the Federal Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment, 2006 (pg 12-3).  $L_{eq}(equip) = E.L. + 10*log (U.F.) - 20*log (D/50) - 10*G*log (D/50)$ 



## **Attenuation Calculations for Stationary Noise Sources**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

STEP 1: Identify the noise source and enter the reference noise level (dBA and distance).

STEP 2: Select the ground type (hard or soft), and enter the source and receiver heights.

STEP 3: Select the distance to the receiver.

Noise Source/ID	Reference Noise Level		e/ID Reference Noise Level Attenuation Characteristics				Atten	uated Nois	e Lev	el at Recep	tor	
	noise level		distance	Ground Type Source Receiver		Ground	noise level		I	distance		
	(dBA)	@	(ft)	(soft/hard)	Height (ft)	Height (ft)	Factor		(dBA)	@	(ft)	
Helicopter	79.5	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.5	@	50	
chipper	99.0	@	3	soft	6	5	0.65		67.7	@	50	
blasting (night Imax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		65.0	@	620	
helicopter (night leq)	68.0	@	492.00	soft	6	5	0.65		45.1	@	3600	
blasting (day lmax)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		70.1	@	400	
helicopter (day leq)	68.0	@	492	soft	6	5	0.65		55.0	@	1520	
Blasting (SF Res)	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		79.6	@	175	
blasting	94.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		86.0	@	100	
construction	85.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		93.0	@	25	
construction	95.0	@	50	soft	6	5	0.65		103.0	@	25	ļ
							0.66					
							0.66					
							0.66	П				
							0.66					

#### Notes:

Estimates of attenuated noise levels do not account for reductions from intervening barriers, including walls, trees, vegetation, or structures of any type.

Computation of the attenuated noise level is based on the equation presented on pg. 12-3 and 12-4 of FTA 2006.

Computation of the ground factor is based on the equation presentd in Figure 6-23 on pg. 6-23 of FTA 2006, where the distance of the reference noise leve can be adjusted and the usage factor is not applied (i.e., the usage factor is equal to 1).

#### Sources:

Federal Transit Association (FTA). 2006 (May). Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment. FTA-VA-90-1003-06. Washington, D.C. Available: <a href="http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf">http://www.fta.dot.gov/documents/FTA\_Noise\_and\_Vibration\_Manual.pdf</a>>. Accessed: September 24, 2010.

Equipment Description	Acoustical Usage Factor (%)	Spec 721.560 Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	Actual Measured Lmax @ 50ft (dBA slow)	No. of Actual Data Samples (count)	Spec 721.560 LmaxCalc	Spec 721.560 Leq	Distance	Actual Measured LmaxCalc	Actual Measured Leq
Auger Drill Rig	20	85	84	36	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Backhoe	40	80	78	372	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Bar Bender	20	80	na	0	74.0	67.0	100		
Blasting	na	94	na	0	88.0		100		
Boring Jack Power Unit	50	80	83	1	74.0	71.0	100	77.0	74.0
Chain Saw	20	85	84	46	79.0	72.0	100	78.0	71.0
Clam Shovel (dropping)	20	93	87	4	87.0	80.0	100	81.0	74.0
Compactor (ground)	20	80	83	57	74.0	67.0	100	77.0	70.0
Compressor (air)	40	80	78	18	74.0	70.0	100	72.0	68.0
Concrete Batch Plant Concrete Mixer Truck	15 40	83 85	na 79	0 40	77.0 79.0	68.7 75.0	100 100	73.0	69.0
Concrete Pump Truck	20	82	79 81	30	76.0	69.0	100	75.0 75.0	68.0
Concrete Saw	20	90	90	55	84.0	77.0	100	84.0	77.0
Crane	16	85	81	405	79.0	71.0	100	75.0	67.0
Dozer	40	85	82	55	79.0	75.0	100	76.0	72.0
Drill Rig Truck	20	84	79	22	78.0	71.0	100	73.0	66.0
Drum Mixer	50	80	80	1	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Dump Truck	40	84	76	31	78.0	74.0	100	70.0	66.0
Excavator	40	85	81	170	79.0	75.0	100	75.0	71.0
Flat Bed Truck	40	84	74	4	78.0	74.0	100	68.0	64.0
Front End Loader	40	80	79	96	74.0	70.0	100	73.0	69.0
Generator	50 50	82 70	81 73	19 74	76.0	73.0	100	75.0	72.0
Generator (<25KVA, VMS signs) Gradall	40	70 85	73 83	74 70	64.0 79.0	61.0 75.0	100 100	67.0 77.0	64.0 73.0
Grader	40	85	na	0	79.0	75.0	100	77.0	73.0
Grapple (on Backhoe)	40	85	87	1	79.0	75.0	100	81.0	77.0
Horizontal Boring Hydr. Jack	25	80	82	6	74.0	68.0	100	76.0	70.0
Hydra Break Ram	10	90	na	0	84.0	74.0	100		
Impact Pile Driver	20	95	101	11	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Jackhammer	20	85	89	133	79.0	72.0	100	83.0	76.0
Man Lift	20	85	75	23	79.0	72.0	100	69.0	62.0
Mounted Impact Hammer (hoe ram)	20 20	90 85	90 90	212 2	84.0 79.0	77.0 72.0	100 100	84.0 84.0	77.0 77.0
Pavement Scarafier Paver	20 50	85 85	90 77	9	79.0 79.0	72.0 76.0	100	71.0	68.0
Pickup Truck	40	55	75	1	49.0	45.0	100	69.0	65.0
Pneumatic Tools	50	85	85	90	79.0	76.0	100	79.0	76.0
Pumps	50	77	81	17	71.0	68.0	100	75.0	72.0
Refrigerator Unit	100	82	73	3	76.0	76.0	100	67.0	67.0
Rivit Buster/chipping gun	20	85	79	19	79.0	72.0	100	73.0	66.0
Rock Drill	20	85	81	3	79.0	72.0	100	75.0	68.0
Roller	20	85	80	16	79.0	72.0	100	74.0	67.0
Sand Blasting (Single Nozzle)	20	85	96	9	79.0	72.0	100	90.0	83.0
Scraper	40 40	85 85	84	12 5	79.0	75.0 75.0	100 100	78.0 90.0	74.0
Shears (on backhoe) Slurry Plant	100	85 78	96 78	1	79.0 72.0	75.0	100	72.0	86.0 72.0
Slurry Trenching Machine	50	78 82	78 80	75	72.0 76.0	73.0	100	74.0	72.0
Soil Mix Drill Rig	50	80	na	0	74.0	71.0	100	74.0	71.0
Tractor	40	84	na	0	78.0	74.0	100		
Vacuum Excavator (Vac-truck)	40	85	85	149	79.0	75.0	100	79.0	75.0
Vacuum Street Sweeper	10	80	82	19	74.0	64.0	100	76.0	66.0
Ventilation Fan	100	85	79	13	79.0	79.0	100	73.0	73.0
Vibrating Hopper	50	85	87	1	79.0	76.0	100	81.0	78.0
Vibratory Concrete Mixer	20	80	80	1	74.0	67.0	100	74.0	67.0
Vibratory Pile Driver	20	95	101	44	89.0	82.0	100	95.0	88.0
Warning Horn	5	85	83	12	79.0	66.0	100	77.0	64.0
Welder / Torch chipper	40	73 75	74	5	67.0	63.0	100	68.0	64.0

Source

FHWA Roadway Construction Noise Model, January 2006. Table 9.1

U.S. Department of Transportation CA/T Construction Spec. 721.560



# **Long-Term Noise Measurement Summary**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Measurement Site: New Zoo Site
Measurement Date: 7/13/2023
Project Name: New Zoo

## **Computation of CNEL**

Hour of Day (military	Sound Level Leq	Sound Power =10*Log(dBA	Period of 24-Hour Day (1=included, 0=not)			ower Breakdo Period of Day	own by	
time)	(dBA)	/10)	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
0:00	63.7	2,344,229	0	0	1	0	0	2,344,229
1:00	66.0	3,981,072	0	0	1	0	0	3,981,072
2:00	59.5	891,251	0	0	1	0	0	891,251
3:00	57.8	602,560	0	0	1	0	0	602,560
4:00	53.1	204,174	0	0	1	0	0	204,174
5:00	55.4	346,737	0	0	1	0	0	346,737
6:00	49.8	95,499	0	0	1	0	0	95,499
7:00	40.4	10,965	1	0	0	10,965	0	0
8:00	49.0	79,433	1	0	0	79,433	0	0
9:00	51.2	131,826	1	0	0	131,826	0	0
10:00	49.3	85,114	1	0	0	85,114	0	0
11:00	57.9	616,595	1	0	0	616,595	0	0
12:00	63.4	2,187,762	1	0	0	2,187,762	0	0
13:00	64.0	2,511,886	1	0	0	2,511,886	0	0
14:00	68.6	7,244,360	1	0	0	7,244,360	0	0
15:00	67.9	6,165,950	1	0	0	6,165,950	0	0
16:00	68.7	7,413,102	1	0	0	7,413,102	0	0
17:00	69.4	8,709,636	1	0	0	8,709,636	0	0
18:00	68.8	7,585,776	1	0	0	7,585,776	0	0
19:00	68.3	6,760,830	0	1	0	0	6,760,830	0
20:00	69.6	9,120,108	0	1	0	0	9,120,108	0
21:00	68.9	7,762,471	0	1	0	0	7,762,471	0
22:00	68.6	7,244,360	0	0	1	0	0	7,244,360
23:00	67.0	5,011,872	0	0	1	0	0	5,011,872

 Sum of Sound Power during Period wo/penalty
 42,742,404
 23,643,409
 20,721,753

 Log Factor for CNEL Penalty (i.e., 10\*log(x))
 1
 3
 10

 Sound Power during Period with penalty
 42,742,404
 70,930,228
 207,217,529

Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties 320,890,161
Hours per Day 24
Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties 13,370,423

Ldn computation on next

## **Computation of Ldn**

Period of 24-Hour Day (1=included,		Sound F Breakdo				
0=1	not)	Period of Day				
Day	Night	Day	Night			
0	1	0	2,344,229			
0	1	0	3,981,072			
0	1	0	891,251			
0	1	0	602,560			
0	1	0	204,174			
0	1	0	346,737			
0	1	0	95,499			
1	0	10,965	0			
1	0	79,433	0			
1	0	131,826	0			
1	0	85,114	0			
1	0	616,595	0			
1	0	2,187,762	0			
1	0	2,511,886	0			
1	0	7,244,360	0			
1	0	6,165,950	0			
1	0	7,413,102	0			
1	0	8,709,636	0			
1	0	7,585,776	0			
1	0	6,760,830	0			
1	0	9,120,108	0			
1	0	7,762,471	0			
0	1	0	7,244,360			
0	1	0	5,011,872			

Sum of Sound Power during Period wo/penalty 66,385,813 20,721,753 Log Factor for Penalty (i.e., 10\*log(x)) 1 10 Sound Power during Period with penalty 66,385,813 207,217,529

Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties 273,603,342
Hours per Day 24
Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties 11,400,139
Ldn 70.6

#### Notes:

Computation of the CNEL based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-27 on pg. 2-57 of Caltrans 2009.

Computation of the Ldn based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-26 on pg. 2-56 of Caltrans 2009.

Log factors for the Ldn and CNEL penalties are provided in Table 2-12 on pg. 2-52 of Caltrans 2009.

### Source:

California Deaprtment of Transportation (Caltrans), Divisiong of Environmental Analysis. 2009 (November). 2009 *Technical Noise Supplement*. Sacramento, CA. Available: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/</a>. Accessed September 24, 2010.



# **Long-Term Noise Measurement Summary - Sacramento Zoo**

**KEY:** Orange cells are for input.

Grey cells are intermediate calculations performed by the model.

Green cells are data to present in a written analysis (output).

Measurement Site: Sacramento Zoo Lion Exhibit

Measurement Date: 6/2/2023
Project Name: Edgewood

## **Computation of CNEL**

Hour of Day (military	Sound Level Leq	Sound Power =10*Log(dBA	Period of 24-Hour Day (1=included, 0=not)			ower Breakd eriod of Day	own by	
time)	(dBA)	/10)	Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night
0:00	48.4	69,183	0	0	1	0	0	69,183
1:00	47.6	57,544	0	0	1	0	0	57,544
2:00	45.9	38,905	0	0	1	0	0	38,905
3:00	45.7	37,154	0	0	1	0	0	37,154
4:00	45.1	32,359	0	0	1	0	0	32,359
5:00	44.8	30,200	0	0	1	0	0	30,200
6:00	46.6	45,709	0	0	1	0	0	45,709
7:00	53.3	213,796	1	0	0	213,796	0	0
8:00	48.9	77,625	1	0	0	77,625	0	0
9:00	51.2	131,826	1	0	0	131,826	0	0
10:00	55.5	354,813	1	0	0	354,813	0	0
11:00	61.8	1,513,561	1	0	0	1,513,561	0	0
12:00	65.1	3,235,937	1	0	0	3,235,937	0	0
13:00	59.8	954,993	1	0	0	954,993	0	0
14:00	55.0	316,228	1	0	0	316,228	0	0
15:00	53.5	223,872	1	0	0	223,872	0	0
16:00	54.3	269,153	1	0	0	269,153	0	0
17:00	52.1	162,181	1	0	0	162,181	0	0
18:00	49.1	81,283	1	0	0	81,283	0	0
19:00	48.5	70,795	0	1	0	0	70,795	0
20:00	50.3	107,152	0	1	0	0	107,152	0
21:00	52.3	169,824	0	1	0	0	169,824	0
22:00	49.6	91,201	0	0	1	0	0	91,201
23:00	47.9	61,660	0	0	1	0	0	61,660
	Sur	n of Sound Pow	er during	Period wo	/penalty	7,535,268	347,771	463,913
		Log Factor for C	CNEL Pen	alty (i.e., 10	0*log(x))	1	3	10
Sound Power during Period with penalty						7,535,268	1,043,313	4,639,134

Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties
Hours per Day
Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties
550,738

Ldn computation on next

## **Computation of Ldn**

	Day (1=	Period of 24-Hour Day (1=included, 0=not)		Power own by of Day
	Day	Day Night		Night
	0	1	0	69,183
	0	1	0	57,544
	0	1	0	38,905
	0	1	0	37,154
	0	1	0	32,359
	0	1	0	30,200
	0	1	0	45,709
	1	0	213,796	0
	1	0	77,625	0
	1	0	131,826	0
	1	0	354,813	0
	1	0	1,513,561	0
	1	0	3,235,937	0
	1	0	954,993	0
	1	0	316,228	0
	1	0	223,872	0
	1	0	269,153	0
	1	0	162,181	0
	1	0	81,283	0
	1	0	70,795	0
	1	0	107,152	0
	1	0	169,824	0
	0	1	0	91,201
	0	1	0	61,660
Sum of Sound Power during	Period w	o/penalty	7,883,039	463,913
Log Factor for Pena			1	10
Sound Power during I	• •		7,883,039	4,639,134
Total Daily Sound Power, with penalties Hours per Day Average Hourly Sound Power, with penalties				12,522,173 24 521,757
			Ldn	57.2

## Notes:

Computation of the CNEL based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-27 on pg. 2-57 of Caltrans 2009.

Computation of the Ldn based on 1-hour Leq measurements for each hour of a day are based on equation 2-26 on pg. 2-56 of Caltrans 2009.

Log factors for the Ldn and CNEL penalties are provided in Table 2-12 on pg. 2-52 of Caltrans 2009.

## Source:

California Deaprtment of Transportation (Caltrans), Divisiong of Environmental Analysis. 2009 (November). 2009 *Technical Noise Supplement*. Sacramento, CA. Available: <a href="http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/">http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/env/noise/</a>. Accessed September 24, 2010.

Summary

File Name on Meter LxT Data.147.s

File Name on PC LxT\_0003285-20230602 093504-LxT\_Data.147.ldbin

Serial Number0003285ModelSoundTrack LxT®Firmware Version2.302

User Location

**Job Description** 

Note

### Measurement

Description

Start2023-06-0209:35:04Stop2023-06-0209:50:47Duration00:15:43.0Run Time00:15:40.1Pause00:00:02.9

Pre-Calibration 2023-06-02 09:33:29
Post-Calibration None
Calibration Deviation ---

### Overall Settings

RMS Weight
Peak Weight
A Weighting
Detector
Slow
Preamplifier
PRMLxT1L
Microphone Correction
Integration Method
Coverload
A Weighting
A We

 A
 C
 Z

 Under Range Peak
 78.3
 75.3
 80.3 dB

 Under Range Limit
 26.3
 26.0
 31.1 dB

 Noise Floor
 16.5
 16.8
 22.0 dB

First Second Third

**Instrument Identification** 

## Results

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{LAeq} & & 62.4 \text{ dB} \\ \textbf{LAE} & & 92.1 \text{ dB} \\ \textbf{EA} & & 181.523 \text{ } \mu \text{Pa}^2 \text{h} \\ \textbf{EA8} & & 5.561 \text{ } m \text{Pa}^2 \text{h} \\ \textbf{EA40} & & 27.805 \text{ } m \text{Pa}^2 \text{h} \\ \end{array}$ 

**LAS**max 2023-06-02 09:38:51 94.0 dB **LAS**max 2023-06-02 09:47:36 72.9 dB Summary

File Name on Meter LxT\_Data.148.s

File Name on PC LxT\_0003285-20230602 095546-LxT\_Data.148.ldbin

Serial Number0003285ModelSoundTrack LxT®Firmware Version2.302

User Location

**Job Description** 

Note

### Measurement

Description

Start2023-06-0209:55:46Stop2023-06-0210:11:24Duration00:15:38.1Run Time00:15:37.0Pause00:00:01.1

Pre-Calibration 2023-06-02 09:55:07
Post-Calibration None
Calibration Deviation ---

### Overall Settings

RMS Weight
Peak Weight
A Weighting
Detector
Slow
Preamplifier
PRMLxT1L
Microphone Correction
Integration Method
Coverload
A Weighting
A We

 A
 C
 Z

 Under Range Peak
 78.2
 75.2
 80.2 dB

 Under Range Limit
 26.2
 25.9
 31.1 dB

 Noise Floor
 16.5
 16.8
 21.9 dB

First Second Third

**Instrument Identification** 

## Results

 LAeq
 77.8 dB

 LAE
 107.5 dB

 EA
 6.273 mPa²h

 EA8
 192.819 mPa²h

 EA40
 964.096 mPa²h

**LAS**max 2023-06-02 10:01:39 109.2 dB **LAS**max 2023-06-02 10:01:39 93.8 dB

LASmin	2023-06-02 09:59:35	55.6 dB
SEA	-99.9 <b>dB</b>	

	Exceedance Counts	Duration
LAS > 85.0 dB	12	57.5 s
LAS > 115.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 135.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 137.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 140.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LCeq	76.7 dB	
LAeq	77.8 dB	
LCeq - LAeq	-1.1 dB	
LAleq	83.2 dB	
LAeq	77.8 dB	
LAleq - LAeq	5.4 dB	
	Α	

	A			C	
	dB	Time Stamp	dB	Time Stamp	dB
Leq	77.8		76.7		
LS(max)	93.8	2023/06/02 10:01:39			
LS(min)	55.6	2023/06/02 9:59:35			
LPeak(max)	109.2	2023/06/02 10:01:39			

Overload Count0Overload Duration0.0 s

Dose Settings			
Dose Name	OSHA-1	OSHA-2	
Exchange Rate	5	3 dB	
Threshold	90	80 dB	
Criterion Level	90	90 dB	
Criterion Duration	8	8 h	

Results			
Dose	0.42	0.20 %	
Projected Dose	12.85	6.03 %	
TWA (Projected)	75.2	77.8 dB	
TWA (t)	50.5	62.9 dB	
Lep (t)	62.9	62.9 dB	

Statistics		
LA 3.00	86.5 dB	
LA 8.00	82.4 dB	
LA 16.00	78.5 dB	
LA 25.00	75.9 dB	
LA 50.00	70.8 dB	
LA 90.00	61.4 dB	

Calibration History			
Preamp	Date	dB re. 1V/Pa	6.3 8.0
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 09:55:07	-28.21	54.76 54.16
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 09:33:27	-28.28	53.36 53.49
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:21:05	-28.23	65.37 65.89
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:15:43	-28.27	41.54 48.03
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 11:25:27	-28.22	54.11 49.56
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:21	-28.18	93.18 72.86
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:00	-28.14	57.61 54.82
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:12:02	-28.25	50.48 51.81
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 09:22:48	-28.21	59.18 54.35
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 05:53:09	-28.17	46.01 48.06
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 04:58:32	-28.15	65.01 58.46

Z	
Time	Stamp

10.0 12.5 16.0 20.0 25.0 31.5 40.0 50.0 63.0 80.0 100 125 160 48.18 50.42 56.81 58.37 59.83 57.01 56.29 52.26 50.96 51.36 49.09 46.61 48.10 48.76 46.14 52.60 52.95 56.44 57.95 54.90 59.03 54.84 56.64 63.28 53.82 57.57 55.20 55.53 69.75 71.98 66.39 56.36 62.75 62.95 59.47 56.02 56.17 56.32 57.34 55.24 53.53 54.24 51.27 52.51 61.11 58.99 63.61 62.00 58.53 56.62 60.83 54.62 58.36 52.99 50.46 53.21 51.32 56.01 53.39 51.36 67.26 63.00 56.78 59.34 62.82 52.48 55.59 53.67 49.71 52.87 49.55 58.51 51.92 65.81 65.50 60.96 63.52 58.10 55.36 56.27 54.47 55.20 59.05 51.18 97.16 96.27 103.89 54.39 63.59 58.27 61.52 55.75 62.67 58.02 56.85 55.59 55.03 52.65 57.85 55.24 67.59 62.22 59.85 63.05 54.95 55.33 58.78 56.20 82.52 81.18 76.66 69.95 52.45 60.69 64.37 67.06 58.62 64.49 65.05 64.78 61.55 55.89 54.84 61.91 61.68 62.44 45.97 45.66 55.38 56.64 54.71 59.17 62.81 56.41 54.52 64.39 58.12 53.75 57.16 50.37 61.83 56.14 61.83 64.52 68.85 69.38 62.35 57.22 66.34 65.72 60.34 66.34 65.05 62.81 25031540050063080010001250160020002500315040005000630048.6149.0157.2454.6745.1339.44114.0548.9821.5766.1422.3262.9728.3333.7521.9855.8752.7153.4547.2745.3047.04113.9351.0846.2166.0235.6762.9031.0934.6125.3057.0654.9054.6250.0641.4131.68114.0348.8320.2566.0622.8263.2228.5234.0322.1148.4849.5149.0744.1436.9430.22113.9348.8718.7565.9822.5763.1528.3533.8722.1045.8546.9546.3242.3037.9135.16113.9448.8220.1766.0422.6163.0328.5233.6121.9053.1152.1552.8746.9541.6339.31113.9548.8722.7865.9022.1663.0028.2933.5922.4552.0552.5246.0843.2238.5537.79114.1049.0424.4066.0122.0163.1527.8433.8222.7870.9368.7167.4760.6460.1464.62113.9361.6849.4770.1649.0366.3360.1755.8253.2347.9247.4246.6143.7437.4631

8000	10000	12500	16000	20000
22.55	24.29	26.33	28.58	31.12
24.78	26.80	27.52	28.66	31.15
23.08	24.56	26.43	28.97	31.01
22.95	24.21	26.33	28.45	30.60
22.83	24.36	26.04	28.29	30.59
23.20	41.67	25.97	28.68	31.51
23.47	24.23	26.00	28.49	31.04
51.90	50.48	48.89	47.72	46.85
23.06	24.15	25.70	28.11	30.78
22.64	24.04	25.88	27.96	30.90
22.91	24.21	26.01	28.12	31.08

LASmin	2023-06-02 09:39:55	55.0 dB
SEA	-99.9 dB	

	<b>Exceedance Counts</b>	Duration
LAS > 85.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LAS > 115.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 135.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 137.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 140.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LCeq	67.4 dB	
LAeq	62.4 dB	
LCeq - LAeq	5.0 dB	
LAleq	67.3 dB	
LAeq	62.4 dB	
LAleq - LAeq	4.9 dB	

	Α		С		
	dB	Time Stamp	dB	Time Stamp	dB
Leq	62.4		67.4		
LS(max)	72.9	2023/06/02 9:47:36			
LS(min)	55.0	2023/06/02 9:39:55			
LPeak(max)	94.0	2023/06/02 9:38:51			

Overload Count0Overload Duration0.0 s

Dose Settings			
Dose Name	OSHA-1	OSHA-2	
Exchange Rate	5	3 dB	
Threshold	90	80 dB	
Criterion Level	90	90 dB	
<b>Criterion Duration</b>	8	8 h	

Results			
Dose	0.07	0.01 %	
Projected Dose	2.03	0.17 %	
TWA (Projected)	61.9	62.4 dB	
TWA (t)	37.2	47.5 dB	
Lep (t)	47.5	47.5 dB	

Statistics		
LA 3.00	67.2 dB	
LA 8.00	65.2 dB	
LA 16.00	64.0 dB	
LA 25.00	63.1 dB	
LA 50.00	61.2 dB	
LA 90.00	57.9 dB	

Calibration History			
Preamp	Date	dB re. 1V/Pa	6.3 8.0
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 09:33:27	-28.28	53.36 53.49
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:21:05	-28.23	65.37 65.89
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:15:43	-28.27	41.54 48.03
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 11:25:27	-28.22	54.11 49.56
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:21	-28.18	93.18 72.86
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:00	-28.14	57.61 54.82
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:12:02	-28.25	50.48 51.81
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 09:22:48	-28.21	59.18 54.35
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 05:53:09	-28.17	46.01 48.06
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 04:58:32	-28.15	65.01 58.46
PRMLxT1L	2023-02-16 13:52:45	-28.11	59.49 56.37

Z	
Time	Stamp

10.012.516.020.025.031.540.050.063.080.010012516020046.1452.6052.9556.4457.9554.9059.0354.8456.6463.2853.8257.5755.2055.5369.7571.9866.3956.3662.7562.9559.4756.0256.1756.3257.3455.2453.5354.2451.2752.5161.1158.9963.6162.0058.5356.6260.8354.6258.3652.9950.4653.2151.3256.0153.3951.3667.2663.0056.7859.3462.8252.4855.5953.6749.7152.8749.5558.5151.9265.8165.5060.9663.5258.1055.3656.2754.4755.2059.0551.1897.1696.27103.8954.3963.5958.2761.5255.7562.6758.0256.8555.5955.0352.6557.8555.2467.5962.2259.8563.0554.9555.3358.7856.2082.5281.1876.6669.9552.4560.6964.3767.0658.6264.4965.0564.7861.5555.8954.8461.9161.6862.4445.9745.6645.6045.6068.8569.3862.3557.2266.3465.7260.3466.3465.0562.81<

25031540050063080010001250160020002500315040005000630055.8752.7153.4547.2745.3047.04113.9351.0846.2166.0235.6762.9031.0934.6125.3057.0654.9054.6250.0641.4131.68114.0348.8320.2566.0622.8263.2228.5234.0322.1148.4849.5149.0744.1436.9430.22113.9348.7318.7565.9822.5763.1528.3533.8722.1053.1152.1552.8746.9541.6339.31113.9548.8722.7865.9022.1663.0328.2933.5922.4552.0552.5246.0843.2238.5537.79114.1049.0424.4066.0122.0163.1527.8433.8222.7870.9368.7167.4760.6460.1464.62113.9361.6849.4770.1649.0366.3360.1755.8253.2355.3163.0956.3054.8443.0135.20113.9449.0222.4366.0321.8262.8628.5533.8021.5247.9247.4246.6143.7437.4631.46113.9449.0227.4565.8922.0862.8128.5534.0321.6364.4362.3663.8460.9254.4040

8000	10000	12500	16000	20000
24.78	26.80	27.52	28.66	31.15
23.08	24.56	26.43	28.97	31.01
22.95	24.21	26.33	28.45	30.60
22.83	24.36	26.04	28.29	30.59
23.20	41.67	25.97	28.68	31.51
23.47	24.23	26.00	28.49	31.04
51.90	50.48	48.89	47.72	46.85
23.06	24.15	25.70	28.11	30.78
22.64	24.04	25.88	27.96	30.90
22.91	24.21	26.01	28.12	31.08
22.53	24.21	25.91	28.37	31.04

Summary

File Name on Meter LxT\_Data.149.s

File Name on PC LxT\_0003285-20230602 102607-LxT\_Data.149.ldbin

Serial Number0003285ModelSoundTrack LxT®Firmware Version2.302

User Location

**Job Description** 

Note

### Measurement

Description

 Start
 2023-06-02
 10:26:07

 Stop
 2023-06-02
 10:41:52

 Duration
 00:15:45.8

 Run Time
 00:015:45.8

 Pause
 00:00:00.0

Pre-Calibration2023-06-0210:25:35Post-CalibrationNoneCalibration Deviation---

### Overall Settings

RMS Weight
Peak Weight
A Weighting
Detector
Slow
Preamplifier
PRMLxT1L
Microphone Correction
Integration Method
Coverload
A Weighting
A We

 A
 C
 Z

 Under Range Peak
 78.1
 75.1
 80.1 dB

 Under Range Limit
 26.1
 25.9
 31.0 dB

 Noise Floor
 16.5
 16.8
 21.9 dB

First Second Third

**Instrument Identification** 

## Results

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{LAeq} & 66.4 \ dB \\ \textbf{LAE} & 96.2 \ dB \\ \textbf{EA} & 458.730 \ \mu Pa^2 h \\ \textbf{EA8} & 13.969 \ mPa^2 h \\ \textbf{EA40} & 69.843 \ mPa^2 h \end{array}$ 

**LAS**max 2023-06-02 10:29:56 95.4 dB **LAS**max 2023-06-02 10:29:56 78.7 dB

LASmin	2023-06-02 10:36:48	56.9 dB
SEA	-99.9 <b>dB</b>	

	<b>Exceedance Counts</b>	Duration
LAS > 85.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LAS > 115.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 135.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 137.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LApeak > 140.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LCeq	68.8 dB	
LAeq	66.4 dB	
LCeq - LAeq	2.4 dB	
LAleq	71.7 dB	
LAeq	66.4 dB	
LAleq - LAeq	5.3 dB	

	Α		C			
	dB	Time Stamp	dB	Time Stamp	dB	
Leq	66.4		68.8			
LS(max)	78.7	2023/06/02 10:29:56				
LS(min)	56.9	2023/06/02 10:36:48				
LPeak(max)	95.4	2023/06/02 10:29:56				

Overload Count0Overload Duration0.0 s

Dose Settings			
Dose Name	OSHA-1	OSHA-2	
Exchange Rate	5	3 dB	
Threshold	90	80 dB	
Criterion Level	90	90 dB	
Criterion Duration	8	8 h	

Results			
Dose	0.11	0.01 %	
Projected Dose	3.49	0.45 %	
TWA (Projected)	65.8	66.5 dB	
TWA (t)	41.2	51.7 dB	
Lep (t)	51.6	51.6 dB	

Statistics		
LA 3.00	71.7 dB	
LA 8.00	69.9 dB	
LA 16.00	68.3 dB	
LA 25.00	67.1 dB	
LA 50.00	64.6 dB	
LA 90.00	60.6 dB	

Calibration History			
Preamp	Date	dB re. 1V/Pa	6.3 8.0
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 10:25:34	-28.17	42.13 52.77
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 09:55:07	-28.21	54.76 54.16
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 09:33:27	-28.28	53.36 53.49
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:21:05	-28.23	65.37 65.89
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:15:43	-28.27	41.54 48.03
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 11:25:27	-28.22	54.11 49.56
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:21	-28.18	93.18 72.86
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:00	-28.14	57.61 54.82
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:12:02	-28.25	50.48 51.81
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 09:22:48	-28.21	59.18 54.35
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 05:53:09	-28.17	46.01 48.06

Z	
Time	Stamp

 10.0
 12.5
 16.0
 20.0
 25.0
 31.5
 40.0
 50.0
 63.0
 80.0
 100
 125
 160
 200

 46.42
 54.04
 53.33
 52.49
 65.47
 61.97
 57.15
 61.52
 60.22
 57.69
 56.76
 57.99
 52.45
 51.29

 48.18
 50.42
 56.81
 58.37
 59.83
 57.01
 56.29
 52.26
 50.96
 51.36
 49.09
 46.61
 48.10
 48.76

 46.14
 52.60
 52.95
 56.44
 57.95
 54.90
 59.03
 54.84
 56.64
 63.28
 53.82
 57.57
 55.20
 55.53

 69.75
 71.98
 66.39
 56.36
 62.75
 62.95
 59.47
 56.02
 56.17
 56.32
 57.34
 55.24
 53.53
 54.24

 51.27
 52.51
 61.11
 58.99
 63.61
 62.00
 58.53
 59.34
 62.82
 52.48
 55.59
 53.67
 49.71
 52.87

25031540050063080010001250160020002500315040005000630052.9851.4358.0959.7150.7741.20114.0348.9426.4366.0521.6262.9228.1333.7821.8548.6149.0157.2454.6745.1339.44114.0548.9821.5766.1422.3262.9728.3333.7521.9855.8752.7153.4547.2745.3047.04113.9351.0846.2166.0235.6762.9031.0934.6125.3057.0654.9054.6250.0641.4131.68114.0348.8320.2566.0622.8263.2228.5234.0322.1148.4849.5149.0744.1436.9430.22113.9348.8318.7565.9822.5763.1528.3533.8722.1053.1152.1552.8746.9541.6339.31113.9448.8220.1766.0422.6163.0328.2233.6121.9053.1152.1552.8746.0843.2238.5537.79114.1049.0424.4066.0122.0163.0527.8433.8222.7870.9368.7167.4760.6460.1464.62113.9361.6849.4770.1649.0366.3360.1755.8253.2355.3163.0956.3054.8443

8000	10000	12500	16000	20000
22.75	24.21	25.94	28.40	30.60
22.55	24.29	26.33	28.58	31.12
24.78	26.80	27.52	28.66	31.15
23.08	24.56	26.43	28.97	31.01
22.95	24.21	26.33	28.45	30.60
22.83	24.36	26.04	28.29	30.59
23.20	41.67	25.97	28.68	31.51
23.47	24.23	26.00	28.49	31.04
51.90	50.48	48.89	47.72	46.85
23.06	24.15	25.70	28.11	30.78
22.64	24.04	25.88	27.96	30.90

Summary

File Name on Meter LxT Data.150.s

File Name on PC LxT\_0003285-20230615 174607-LxT\_Data.150.ldbin

Serial Number0003285ModelSoundTrack LxT®Firmware Version2.302

User Location

**Job Description** 

Note

### Measurement

Description

 Start
 2023-06-15
 17:46:07

 Stop
 2023-06-15
 18:08:57

 Duration
 00:22:49.6

 Run Time
 00:02:49.6

 Pause
 00:00:00.0

Pre-Calibration2023-06-1517:45:47Post-CalibrationNoneCalibration Deviation---

### Overall Settings

RMS Weight
Peak Weight
Z Weighting
Detector
Slow
Preamplifier
PRMLxT1L
Microphone Correction
Integration Method
Exponential
Overload
A Weighting
Z Weighting
E Weighting
Detector
Slow
PRMLxT1L
Exponential
Exponential

 A
 C
 Z

 Under Range Peak
 78.1
 75.1
 80.1 dB

 Under Range Limit
 26.1
 25.9
 31.0 dB

 Noise Floor
 16.5
 16.7
 21.9 dB

First Second Third

**Instrument Identification** 

### Results

 LASeq
 80.3 dB

 LASE
 111.7 dB

 EAS
 16.306 mPa²h

 EAS8
 342.887 mPa²h

 EAS40
 1.714 Pa²h

**LZ**peak (max) 2023-06-15 17:58:28 107.1 dB **LAS**max 2023-06-15 17:46:34 91.9 dB

LASmin	2023-06-15 18:07:06	55.7 dB
SEA	-99.9 <b>dB</b>	

	<b>Exceedance Counts</b>	Duration
LAS > 85.0 dB	49	181.7 s
LAS > 115.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LZpeak > 135.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LZpeak > 137.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LZpeak > 140.0 dB	0	0.0 s
LCSeq	84.2 dB	
LASeq	80.3 dB	
LCSeq - LASeq	3.9 dB	
LAleq	85.0 dB	
LAeq	80.3 dB	
LAleg - LAeg	4.7 dB	

	Α		С		
	dB	Time Stamp	dB	Time Stamp	dB
Leq	80.3				
LS(max)	91.9	2023/06/15 17:46:34			
LS(min)	55.7	2023/06/15 18:07:06			
LPeak(max)					107.1

Overload Count0Overload Duration0.0 s

Dose Settings			
Dose Name	OSHA-1	OSHA-2	
Exchange Rate	5	5 dB	
Threshold	90	80 dB	
Criterion Level	90	90 dB	
Criterion Duration	8	8 h	

Results			
Dose	0.02	0.72 %	
Projected Dose	0.36	15.18 %	
TWA (Projected)	49.5	76.4 dB	
TWA (t)	27.5	54.4 dB	
Lep (t)	67.1	67.1 dB	

Statistics		
LAS 5.00	86.3 dB	
LAS 10.00	84.6 dB	
LAS 33.30	80.3 dB	
LAS 50.00	76.2 dB	
LAS 66.60	65.6 dB	
LAS 90.00	61.3 dB	

Calibration History			
Preamp	Date	dB re. 1V/Pa	6.3 8.0
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-15 17:45:45	-28.14	65.45 52.00
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 10:25:34	-28.17	42.13 52.77
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 09:55:07	-28.21	54.76 54.16
PRMLxT1L	2023-06-02 09:33:27	-28.28	53.36 53.49
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:21:05	-28.23	65.37 65.89
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 12:15:43	-28.27	41.54 48.03
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 11:25:27	-28.22	54.11 49.56
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:21	-28.18	93.18 72.86
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:48:00	-28.14	57.61 54.82
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 10:12:02	-28.25	50.48 51.81
PRMLxT1L	2023-04-20 09:22:48	-28.21	59.18 54.35

7

Time Stamp

2023/06/15 17:58:28

10.012.516.020.025.031.540.050.063.080.010012516056.4556.4056.2861.0764.8665.8766.7661.3458.7479.3769.2670.3579.6548.1850.4253.3352.4965.4761.9757.1561.5260.2257.6956.7657.9952.4548.1850.4256.8158.3759.8357.0156.2952.2650.9651.3649.0946.6148.1046.1452.6052.9556.4457.9554.9059.0354.8456.6463.2853.8257.5755.2069.7571.9866.3956.3662.7562.9559.4756.0256.1756.3257.3455.2453.5351.2752.5161.1158.9963.6162.0058.5356.6260.8354.6258.3652.9950.4651.3256.0153.3951.3667.2663.0056.7859.3462.8252.4855.5953.6749.7149.5558.5151.9265.8165.5060.9663.5258.1055.3656.2058.0255.5955.8955.5955.5955.8955.5955.8954.8461.9161.6857.8550.4660.6964.3767.0658.6264.4965.0564.7861.5555.8954.8461.9161.68

200 250 315 400 500 630 800 1000 1250 1600 2000 2500 3150 4000 5000 67.15 68.11 60.22 60.58 59.43 61.65 52.84 114.00 49.04 35.12 66.14 24.31 62.95 28.20 33.60 51.29 52.98 51.43 58.09 59.71 50.77 41.20 114.03 48.94 26.43 66.05 21.62 62.92 28.13 33.78 48.76 48.61 49.01 57.24 54.67 45.13 39.44 114.05 48.98 21.57 66.14 22.32 62.97 28.33 33.75 55.53 55.87 52.71 53.45 47.27 45.30 47.04 113.93 51.08 46.21 66.02 35.67 62.90 31.09 34.61 54.24 57.06 54.90 54.62 50.06 41.41 31.68 114.03 48.83 20.25 66.06 22.82 63.22 28.52 34.03 53.21 48.48 49.51 49.07 44.14 36.94 30.22 113.93 48.73 18.75 65.98 22.57 63.15 28.35 33.87 52.87 45.85 46.95 46.32 42.30 37.91 35.16 113.94 48.82 20.17 66.04 22.61 63.03 28.52 33.61 51.18 53.11 52.15 52.87 46.95 41.63 39.31 113.95 48.87 22.78 65.90 22.16 63.00 28.29 33.59 52.65 52.05 52.52 46.08 43.22 38.55 37.79 114.10 49.04 24.40 66.01 22.01 63.15 27.84 33.82 69.95 70.93 68.71 67.47 60.64 60.14 64.62 113.93 61.68 49.47 70.16 49.03 66.33 60.17 55.82 62.44 55.31 63.09 56.30 54.84 43.01 35.20 113.94 49.02 22.43 66.03 21.82 62.86 28.55 33.80

6300	8000	10000	12500	16000	20000
21.89	23.02	24.27	26.01	28.45	30.73
21.85	22.75	24.21	25.94	28.40	30.60
21.98	22.55	24.29	26.33	28.58	31.12
25.30	24.78	26.80	27.52	28.66	31.15
22.11	23.08	24.56	26.43	28.97	31.01
22.10	22.95	24.21	26.33	28.45	30.60
21.90	22.83	24.36	26.04	28.29	30.59
22.45	23.20	41.67	25.97	28.68	31.51
22.78	23.47	24.23	26.00	28.49	31.04
53.23	51.90	50.48	48.89	47.72	46.85
21.52	23.06	24.15	25.70	28.11	30.78